

CENTRAL VALLEY REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD

AMENDMENTS

To

THE WATER QUALITY CONTROL PLAN FOR THE SACRAMENTO RIVER AND SAN JOAQUIN RIVER BASINS

FOR

THE CONTROL OF DIAZINON AND CHLORPYRIFOS RUNOFF INTO THE LOWER SAN JOAQUIN RIVER

APPENDIX D
SAN JOAQUIN RIVER DIAZINON AND CHLORPYRIFOS
ECONOMIC SCENARIOS
FINAL STAFF REPORT

October 2005







State of California Arnold Schwarzenegger, Governor

California Environmental Protection Agency Dr. Alan Lloyd, Ph.D., Secretary

REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD CENTRAL VALLEY REGION

Robert Schneider, Chair Karl Longley, Vice Chair Linda Adams, Member Paul Betancourt, Member Alson Brizard, Member Christopher Cabaldon, Member Kate Hart, Member Lucille Palmer-Byrd, Member

Thomas R. Pinkos, Executive Officer

11020 Sun Center Drive #200 Rancho Cordova, CA 95670

Phone: (916) 464-3291 eMail: info5@waterboards.ca.gov

Web site: http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralvalley/

DISCLAIMER

This publication is a report by staff of the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region. This report contains the evaluation of alternatives and technical support for the adoption of an amendment to the Water Quality Control Plan for the Sacramento and San Joaquin River Basins(Resolution No. R5-2005-0138). Mention of specific products does not represent endorsement of those products by the Regional Board.

AMENDMENTS

To

THE WATER QUALITY CONTROL PLAN FOR THE SACRAMENTO RIVER AND SAN JOAQUIN RIVER BASINS

FOR

THE CONTROL OF DIAZINON AND CHLORPYRIFOS RUNOFF INTO THE LOWER SAN JOAQUIN RIVER

APPENDIX D
SAN JOAQUIN RIVER DIAZINON AND CHLORPYRIFOS
ECONOMIC SCENARIOS
FINAL STAFF REPORT

October 2005

REPORT PREPARED BY:

DIANE BEAULAURIER, JOE KARKOSKI, GENE DAVIS, DANNY MCCLURE, MARY MENCONI, MATTHEW MCCARTHY

REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD CENTRAL VALLEY REGION

CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

INTRODUCTION

Appendix D contains a series of tables displaying ranges and total costs for managing pesticide applications to select crops, monitoring and planning alternatives, and costs for applying specific pesticides to select crops in both the dormant and in-season periods. These tables are followed by a list of footnote descriptions for footnotes identified in the Appendix D tables.

Cost Range and Total Costs

Dormant Season costs			Irrigation season costs		
Almonds		COST	Almonds		COST
Minimum cost increase	\$1	\$11,500	Minimum cost increase	\$90	\$3,312,000
Maximum cost increase	\$160	\$1,874,500	Maximum cost increase	\$118	\$4,342,400
Total acres using d &/or c (a)	11,500		Total acres using d &/or c (d)	36,800	
Peach			Alfalfa		
Minimum cost increase/decrease	-\$14	-\$44,800	Minimum cost increase	\$60	\$570,000
Maximum cost increase	\$146	\$467,200	Maximum cost increase	\$100	\$950,000
Total acres using d &/or c (b)	3,200		Total acres using d (d)	9,500	
Apple					
Minimum cost increase/decrease	-\$19	-\$22,800			
Maximum cost increase	\$159	\$190,000			
Total acres using d &/or c (c)	1,200				
Dormant cost range			Irrigation cost range		
Minimum	-\$56,100		Minimum	\$3,882,000	
Maximum	\$2,532,500		Maximum	\$5,292,400	
Monitoring cost range			Total cost range		
Minimum	\$600,000		Minimum (e)	\$4,425,900	
Maximum	\$3,100,000		Maximum (f)	\$10,924,900	

⁽a) sum of average annual acres applied for diazinon and chlorpyrifos for 2000-2002 from almond probabilities worksheet, rounded to nearest 100 acres

⁽b) sum of average annual acres applied for diazinon and chlorpyrifos for 2000-2002 from peaches probabilities worksheet, rounded to nearest 100 acres

⁽c) sum of average annual acres applied for diazinon and chlorpyrifos for 2000-2002 from apples probabilities worksheet, rounded to nearest 100 acres

⁽d) average annual use 2000-2002 (in Table 1.4) divided by 2 pounds per acre application rate (e) Minimum= minimum dormant cost + minimum irrigation cost + minimum monitoring cost

⁽f) Maximum = maximum dormant cost + maximum irrigation cost + maximum monitoring cost

Alternative #1 Watershed Group estimates working under waiv	er
Estimated Water Quality Monitoring Cost	
Number of Sites	
Number of Sampling Days (assumes 12 days each - dormant and irrigation season sampling)	24
% QA/QC Samples	30%
Cost per Sample	\$200
Total analytical costs	\$37,440
Number of Person-days for sample collection. Assumes 2 person crew can cover 6 sites.	96
Sample collection preparation as a percent of Person-days for sampling.	25%
Total Person-days for Sample Collection & Preparation	120
Cost per Person-day	\$150
Sampling personnel cost	\$18,000
Travel Costs (400 mi per trip from Sacramento)/ \$0.35 per mile.	\$3,360
Equipment/Supplies	\$20,000
Flow estimates (\$100 /site)	\$300
Total Sampling Cost	\$75,740
Effectiveness Evaluation	
Cost per project	\$400,000
Number of projects per year	0.5
Annual surveys of grower implementation	\$25,000
Total effectiveness evaluation cost	\$225,000
Planning Cost	Person-Months to prepare
Monitoring Plan & QAPP	
Implementation Plan	
Annual Monitoring Report	
Annual Implementation Plan Report	
Monitoring Program Coordination	
Implementation Plan Coordination - Basin-wide	12
Cost per person-month for professional services	\$10,000
Total planning cost	\$280,000
Total annual cost for basin-wide monitoring, planning, and eval	uation
Total Cost	\$580,740
Total Number of Orchard Growers	1000
Cost per Grower	\$580.74

Alternative 2 - Individual Discharger Estimates working und	er waiver
Estimated Water Quality Monitoring Cost	
Number of Sites	1
Number of Sampling Days (assumes 2 days for either dormant or irrigation season sampling)	2
% QA/QC Samples	30%
Cost per Sample	\$200
Total analytical costs	\$520
Number of Person-days for sample collection. Assumes 1 person crew.	2
Sample collection preparation as a percent of Person-days for sampling.	25%
Total Person-days for Sample Collection & Preparation	3
Cost per Person-day (assume grower collects)	\$0
Sampling personnel cost	\$0
Travel Costs (50 mi per trip/ \$0.35 per mile.	\$35
Equipment/Supplies (Gloves \$20 + \$20/sample bottle)	\$72
Flow Estimate (\$100/site)	\$100
Total Sampling Cost per site	\$692
Total number of sites	1000
Total cost for 1000 sites	\$692,000
Effectiveness Evaluation	
Annual farm evaluation	\$2,000
Assume - farm evaluation is independent review of farm operatio	ns and w.q. data.
Assume - Regional Board or some other entity prepares standard and implementation plan	forms to fill out for monitoring
Planning Cost	Person-Hours to prepare
Monitoring Plan & QAPP	2
Implementation Plan	4
Annual Monitoring Report	2
Annual Implementation Plan Report	2
Monitoring Program Coordination	0
Implementation Plan Coordination - Basin-wide	0
Cost per person-hours for grower to perform	\$40
Total planning cost	\$400
Total annual cost for basin-wide monitoring, planning, and ev	valuation
Cost per Grower	\$3,092
Total Number of Growers	1000
Basin-wide Cost	\$3,092,000
	·

DO + DO + DO Alone DO Alone DO + Bt at Bloom DO + Bt at Bl			Base Case	Alternate Scenario		Alternate Scenario 3	
Cost of One Application (per ac, based on 100 ac)(a)				1(1)	Alternate Scenario 2		Alternate Scenario 4
Cost of Two Applications (per ac, based on 100 ac)(a) Supreme Oil (4 gal/ac)(a) S19/acre S12				DO Alone	DO + Bt at Bloom ⁽²⁾	DO + Success	DO + Pyrethroid. Inseason treatments as needed. Cover crops to
Supreme Oil (gal/as)(a)	Cost of One Application (per ac, based on 100 ac)(a)		\$20	\$20	\$20	\$20	\$
Diazinon 50 (3.5 lb/ac)(a) \$19/acre \$15	Cost of Two Applications (per ac, based on 100 ac)(a)				\$40		
Lorsban 4E (2qtac)(3)(a)	Supreme Oil (4 gal/ac)(a)		\$12	\$12	\$12	\$12	\$
Guttion SOWP (41bs/ac)(3)(a) \$45/acre	Diazinon 50 (3.5 lb/ac)(a)	\$19/acre					
Supracide 25 WP (8lbs/ac)(a) \$60/acre \$30	Lorsban 4E (2qt/ac)(3)(a)	\$15/acre	\$15			\$15	
Imidan 70 WP (4.25 lbs/ac)(a) \$30/acre \$30	Guthion 50WP (4lbs/ac)(3)(a)	\$45/acre					
Asana XL (4-6 oz/ac)(4)(a) S5/acre Ambush 25SP (12-25 oz/ac)(4)(a) S30/acre	Supracide 25 WP (8lbs/ac)(a)	\$60/acre					
Ambush 25SP (12-25 oz/ac)(4)(a) S30/acre	Imidan 70 WP (4.25 lbs/ac)(a)	\$30/acre	\$30	\$30	\$30	\$30	\$
Pounce 3.2 EC (8-16 oz/ac)(4)(a) \$23/acre \$28	Asana XL (4-6 oz/ac)(4)(a)	\$5/acre					
Diple (1 lb/ac)(2)(a) S28/acre S28 S28 S28/acre S28 S28 S28/acre S28 S	Ambush 25SP (12-25 oz/ac)(4)(a)	\$30/acre					
Trilogy 90EC (2g/ac)(2)(a) \$140/acre \$30	Pounce 3.2 EC (8-16 oz/ac)(4)(a)	\$23/acre					
Success (6 oz/ac)(a) \$30/acre \$30 Sevin 80S (1.25 lb/ac)(a) \$8/acre \$8/acre \$8/acre	Dipel (1 lb/ac)(2)(a)	\$28/acre			\$28		
Sevin 80S (1.25 lb/ac)(a)	Trilogy 90EC (2g/ac)(2)(a)	\$140/acre					
Vendex 50WP (2 lb/ac)(a)	Success (6 oz/ac)(a)	\$30/acre				\$30	
Apollo SC (4 oz/ac)(a) \$58/acre	Sevin 80S (1.25 lb/ac)(a)	\$8/acre					
Omite 30 WP (7.5 lb/ac)(a)	Vendex 50WP (2 lb/ac)(a)	\$56/acre					9
Probability of Needing In-season Applications(b) 0.6 1 0.9 0.9	Apollo SC (4 oz/ac)(a)	\$58/acre					
Cover Crop(c)	Omite 30 WP (7.5 lb/ac)(a)	\$45/acre					
Cultural CostsNot Including Dormant Sprays (d) \$1,415 \$1,415 \$1,415 \$1,415 \$1,415 \$1,415 \$1 tal Cultural Costs \$1,477 \$1,497 \$1,560 \$1,537 \$1 rvest Costs(d) \$975 \$975 \$975 \$975 \$975 visory Board Assessment(d) \$47 \$47 \$47 \$47 erest on Operating Capital @ 10.46%(d) \$45 \$45 \$45 sh Overhead(d) \$248 \$248 \$248 \$248 in-Cash Overhead(d) \$1,125 <	Probability of Needing In-season Applications(b)		0.6	1	0.9	0.9	
tal Cultural Costs \$1,477 \$1,497 \$1,560 \$1,537 \$1 rvest Costs(d) \$975 </td <td>Cover Crop(c)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Cover Crop(c)						
Section Sect	Cultural CostsNot Including Dormant Sprays (d)		\$1,415	\$1,415	\$1,415	\$1,415	\$1,
S47 S45 S46 S45	otal Cultural Costs		\$1,477	\$1,497	\$1,560	\$1,537	\$1,
rerest on Operating Capital @ 10.46%(d) \$45 \$45 \$45 \$45 \$45 \$45 \$45 \$45 \$45 \$45	nrvest Costs(d)		\$975	\$975	\$975	\$975	\$
Section Sect	dvisory Board Assessment(d)		\$47	\$47	\$47	\$47	
S1,125 S	terest on Operating Capital @ 10.46%(d)		\$45	\$45	\$45	\$45	
tal Costs \$3,917 \$3,937 \$4,000 \$3,977 \$4 oss Revenue(5)(d) \$4,700 \$4,700 \$4,700 \$4 turns to Land, Mgt & Overhead \$783 \$763 \$700 \$723 tal Cultural Costs as Percent of Gross Revenue 31% 21% 21% 21% tal Costs as Percent of Gross Revenue 83% 84% 85% 85% ange in Total Cost from Base Case \$0 \$20 \$83 \$60	ash Overhead(d)		\$248	\$248	\$248	\$248	\$
soss Revenue(5)(d) \$4,700 \$4,700 \$4,700 \$4 sturns to Land, Mgt & Overhead \$783 \$763 \$700 \$723 tal Cultural Costs as Percent of Gross Revenue 31% 21% 21% 21% tal Costs as Percent of Gross Revenue 83% 84% 85% 85% ange in Total Cost from Base Case \$0 \$20 \$83 \$60	on-Cash Overhead(d)		\$1,125	\$1,125	\$1,125	\$1,125	\$1,
turns to Land, Mgt & Overhead \$783 \$763 \$700 \$723 \$1 \$21 \$21 \$21 \$21 \$21 \$21 \$21 \$21 \$21	otal Costs		\$3,917	\$3,937	\$4,000	\$3,977	\$4,
tal Cultural Costs as Percent of Gross Revenue 31% 21% 21% 21% tal Costs as Percent of Gross Revenue 83% 84% 85% 85% ange in Total Cost from Base Case \$0 \$20 \$83 \$60	ross Revenue(5)(d)		\$4,700	\$4,700	\$4,700	\$4,700	\$4,
tal Costs as Percent of Gross Revenue 83% 84% 85% 85% ange in Total Cost from Base Case \$0 \$20 \$83 \$60	turns to Land, Mgt & Overhead		\$783	\$763	\$700	\$723	\$
ange in Total Cost from Base Case \$0 \$20 \$83 \$60	otal Cultural Costs as Percent of Gross Revenue		31%	21%	21%	21%	2
ange in Total Cost from Base Case \$0 \$20 \$83 \$60	tal Costs as Percent of Gross Revenue		83%	84%	85%	85%	8
	ange in Total Cost from Base Case			\$20		\$60	\$
	Change in Total Cost from Base Case		0%	0%	2%	1%	

	Economic Analysis for	Dormant Season I	Diazinon Base Case and A	Alternate Scenar	ios for Cling Peaches (UC	CE 1998)	
			Base Case	Alternate Scenario 1 ⁽¹⁾	Alternate Scenario 2	Alternate Scenario 3	Alternate Scenario 4
							DO + Pyrethroid. In-season
			DO - Dissipan	DO 41	DO + Bt at Bloom ⁽²⁾	DO/ C	treatments as needed. Cover crops to reduce runoff.
Cost of C	One Application (per ac, based on 100 ac)(a)		DO + Diazinon \$20	DO Alone \$20	\$20 DO + Bt at Bloom \$20	DO w/ Success \$20	
	Two Applications (per ac, based on 100 ac)(a)		\$20	\$20	\$40		\$21
	Oil (4 gal/ac)(a)		\$12	\$12	\$12		\$1
Supreme	Diazinon 50 (3.5 lb/ac)(a)	\$19/acre	\$19	Ψ12	Ψ12	\$19	
	Lorsban 4E (2qt/ac)(3)(a)	\$15/acre	\$1.5			\$19	
	Guthion 50WP (4lbs/ac)(3)(a)	\$45/acre					
	Supracide 25 WP (8lbs/ac)(a)	\$60/acre	¢20	#20	ф20	#2O	#2
	Imidan 70 WP (4.25 lbs/ac)(a)	\$30/acre	\$30	\$30	\$30	\$30	·
	Asana XL (4-6 oz/ac)(4)(a)	\$5/acre					\$3
	Ambush 25SP (12-25 oz/ac)(4)(a)	\$30/acre					
	Pounce 3.2 EC (8-16 oz/ac)(4)(a)	\$23/acre					
	Dipel (1 lb/ac)(2)(a)	\$28/acre			\$28		
	Trilogy 90EC (2g/ac)(2)(a)	\$140/acre					
	Success (6 oz/ac)(a)	\$30/acre				\$30	
	Sevin 80S (1.25 lb/ac)(a)	\$8/acre					
	Vendex 50WP (2 lb/ac)(a)	\$56/acre					\$50
	Apollo SC (4 oz/ac)(a)	\$58/acre					
	Omite 30 WP (7.5 lb/ac)(a)	\$45/acre					
	ity of Needing In-season Applications(b)		0.9	1	0.9	0.9	
Cover Cı	* * *						\$6
Cultural	CostsNot Including Dormant Sprays (d)		\$1,415	\$1,415	\$1,415		
Total Cultural Costs			\$1,511	\$1,497	\$1,560	\$1,541	\$1,63
Harvest Costs(d)			\$975	\$975	\$975	\$975	\$97:
Advisory Board Asses	ssment(d)		\$47	\$47	\$47	\$47	\$47
Interest on Operating	Capital @ 10.46%(d)		\$45	\$45	\$45	\$45	\$4:
Cash Overhead(d)			\$248	\$248	\$248	\$248	\$248
Non-Cash			\$1,125	\$1,125	\$1,125	\$1,125	\$1,123
Overhead(d)							
Total Costs			\$3,951	\$3,937	\$4,000	\$3,981	\$4,078
Gross Revenue(5)(d)			\$4,700	\$4,700	\$4,700		-
Returns to Land, Mgt			\$749	\$763	\$700	\$719	\$622
	s Percent of Gross Revenue		32%	21%	21%	21%	21%
Total Costs as Percent			84%	84%	85%	85%	87%
Change in Total Cost			\$0	-\$14	\$49	\$30	· ·
% Change in Total Co	ost from Base Case		0%	0%	1%	1%	3%
* Imidan (phosmet) a	and Asana (esfenvalerate) were used for scena	rio because PUR rec	ords indicate they are com	monly used on p	eaches		

			Base Case	Alternate Scenario 1	Alternate Scenario 2	Alternate Scenario 3	Alternate Scenario 4
							DO + Pyrethroid. In-
			D.0		DO D		season treatments as
			DO +	DO 41	DO + Bt	DO +	needed. Cover crops
Cost of One	Application (per ac, based on 10)() ac)(a)	Diazinon \$20	DO Alone \$20	at Bloom(2) \$20	Success \$20	to reduce runoff.
	Applications (per ac, based on 1		\$20	\$20	\$40	\$20	\$20
	(4 gal/ac)(a)	100 ac)(a)	\$12	\$12	\$12	\$12	\$12
	azinon 50 (3.5 lb/ac)(a)	¢10/	\$12	\$12	\$12	\$12	Φ12
		\$19/acre	¢15				
	orsban 4E (2qt/ac)(3)(a)	\$15/acre	\$15				
	nthion 50WP (4lbs/ac)(3)(a)	\$45/acre					
	pracide 25 WP (8lbs/ac)(a)	\$60/acre	440	***	***	+	***
	idan 70 WP (4.25 lbs/ac)(a)	\$30/acre	\$30	\$30	\$30	\$30	\$30
	sana XL (4-6 oz/ac)(4)(a)	\$5/acre					
Po	unce 3.2 EC (8-16 oz/ac)(4)(a)	\$23/acre					\$23
	pel (1 lb/ac)(2)(a)	\$28/acre			\$28		
Tr	ilogy 90EC (2g/ac)(2)(a)	\$140/acre					
Su	ccess (6 oz/ac)(a)	\$30/acre				\$30	
Se	vin 80S (1.25 lb/ac)(a)	\$8/acre					
Ve	endex 50WP (2 lb/ac)(a)	\$56/acre					\$56
Aj	oollo SC (4 oz/ac)(a)	\$58/acre					
Oı	nite 30 WP (7.5 lb/ac)(a)	\$45/acre					
Probability of	of Needing In-Season Application	n(b)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Cover Crop(\$60
	tsNot Including Dormant Spra	vs(d)	\$1,332	\$1,332	\$1,332	\$1,332	\$1,332
Total Cultural Costs			\$1,429	\$1,414	\$1,482	\$1,444	\$1,573
Harvest Costs per acre	(d)		\$1,740	\$1,740	\$1,740	\$1,740	\$1,740
Processing Costs per a			\$6,915	\$6,915	\$6,915	\$6,915	\$6,915
Advisory Board Asses			\$120	\$120	\$120	\$120	\$120
Interest on Operating (\$151	\$151	\$151	\$151	\$151
Cash Overhead(d)	Suprim C 10.5170(u)		\$202	\$202	\$202	\$202	\$202
Non-Cash Overhead(d)		\$1,131	\$1,131	\$1,131	\$1,131	\$1,131
Total Costs)		\$11,688	\$11,673	\$11,741	\$11,703	\$11,832
Gross			\$15,300	\$15,300	\$15,300	\$15,300	\$15,300
Revenue(5)(d)			\$15,500	\$15,500	\$15,500	\$15,500	\$13,300
Returns to Land, Mgt	& Overhead		\$3,612	\$3,627	\$3,559	\$3597	\$3,468
•	Percent of Gross Revenue	1	9%	9%	10%	9%	10%
Total Costs as Percent	of Gross Revenue		76%	76%	77%	76%	77%
Change in Total Cost f			\$0	-\$15	\$53	\$15	\$159
% Change in Total Cos			0%	0%	1%	0%	1%
, change in rotal Co.	. Tom Dube Cube		070	070	170	070	170
* Imidan (nhaam-t)	d Pounce (permethrin) were use	d for some	haaayaa tha		ad an annis-		

FINAL REPORT 02/08/2006

			Base Case	Alternate Scenario 1	Alternate Scenario 2	Alternate Scenario 3	Alternate Scenario 4
			DO + Diazinon	DO Alone	DO + Bt at $Bloom(2)$	DO + Success	DO + Pyrethroid. In-season treatments as needed. Cover crop to reduce runoff.
	Cost of One Application (per ac, based	on 100 ac)(a)	\$20	\$20	\$20	\$20	\$2
	Cost of Two Applications (per ac, based	on 100 ac)(a)			\$40		
S	Supreme Oil (4 gal/ac)(a)		\$12	\$12	\$12	\$12	\$
	Diazinon 50 (3.5 lb/ac)(a)	\$19/acre	\$19				
	Lorsban 4E (2qt/ac)(3)(a)	\$15/acre					
	Guthion 50WP (4lbs/ac)(3)(a)	\$45/acre					
	Supracide 25 WP (8lbs/ac)(a)	\$60/acre					
	Imidan 70 WP (4.25 lbs/ac)(a)	\$30/acre	\$30	\$30	\$30	\$30	\$:
	Asana XL (4-6 oz/ac)(4)(a)	\$5/acre					
	Pounce 3.2 EC (8-16 oz/ac)(4)(a)	\$23/acre					\$2
	Dipel (1 lb/ac)(2)(a)	\$28/acre			\$28		
	Trilogy 90EC (2g/ac)(2)(a)	\$140/acre					
	Success (6 oz/ac)(a)	\$30/acre				\$30	
	Sevin 80S (1.25 lb/ac)(a)	\$8/acre					
	Vendex 50WP (2 lb/ac)(a)	\$56/acre					\$:
	Apollo SC (4 oz/ac)(a)	\$58/acre					
	Omite 30 WP (7.5 lb/ac)(a)	\$45/acre					
P	Probability of Needing In-Season Application(b)		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.0
C	Cover Crop(c)						\$
C	Cultural CostsNot Including Dormant Sprays(d)		\$1,332	\$1,332	\$1,332	\$1,332	\$1,33
Total Cultura	ral Costs		\$1433	\$1,414	\$1,482	\$1,444	\$1,5
Harvest Cos	its per acre(d)		\$1,740	\$1,740	\$1,740	\$1,740	\$1,74
Processing C	Costs per acre(d)		\$6,915	\$6,915	\$6,915	\$6,915	\$6,9
_	pard Assessment(d)		\$120	\$120	\$120	\$120	\$12
-	Operating Capital @10.51%(d)		\$151	\$151	\$151	\$151	\$1:
Cash Overhe	ead(d)		\$202	\$202	\$202	\$202	\$20
Non-Cash O	Overhead(d)		\$1,131	\$1,131	\$1,131	\$1,131	\$1,12
Total Costs			\$11,692	\$11,673	\$11,741	\$11,703	\$11,83
Gross Reven	nue(5)(d)		\$15,300	\$15,300	\$15,300	\$15,300	\$15,30
	and, Mgt & Overhead		\$3,608	\$3,627	\$3,559	\$3,597	\$3,4
	al Costs as Percent of Gross Revenue		9%	9%	10%	9%	10
Total Costs a	as Percent of Gross Revenue		76%	76%	77%	76%	77
	Otal Cost from Base Case		\$0	-\$19	\$49	\$11	\$1:
-	n Total Cost from Base Case		0%	0%	0%	0%	1

FINAL REPORT 02/08/2006

	Economic Analy	•				(
			Base Case	Alternate Scenario 1 ⁽¹⁾	Alternate Scenario 2	Alternate Scenario 3	Alternate Scenario 4
			DO + Diazinon	DO Alone	DO + Bt at Bloom ⁽²⁾	DO w/ Success	DO + Pyrethroid. In-season treatments as needed. Cover crops to reduce runoff.
	Cost of One Application(per ac, based on 100 ac)		\$20	\$20	\$20	\$20	\$20
	Cost of Two Applications(per ac, based on 100 ac	e)(a)			\$40		
:	Supreme Oil(4 gal/ac)(a)		\$12	\$12	\$12	\$12	\$12
	Diazinon 50 (3.5 lb/ac)(a)	\$19/acre	\$19				
	Guthion 50WP (4lbs/ac)(3)(a)	\$45/acre					
	Supracide 25 WP (8lbs/ac)(a)	\$60/acre					
	*Imidan 70WP (4.25 lb/ac)(a)	\$30/acre	\$30	\$30	\$30	\$30	\$30
	Ambush 25SP (12-25 oz/ac)(4)(a)	\$30/acre					
	*Pounce 3.2 EC (8-16 oz/ac)(4)(a)	\$23/acre					\$23
	Dipel (1 lb/ac)(2)(a)	\$28/acre			\$28		
	Success (6 oz/ac)(a)	\$30/acre				\$30	
	Sevin 80S (1.25 lb/ac)(a)	\$8/acre					
	Vendex 50WP (2 lb/ac)(a)	\$56/acre					\$56
	Apollo SC (4 oz/ac)(a)	\$58/acre					
	Omite 30 WP (7.5 lb/ac)(a)	\$45/acre					
]	Probability of Needing In-season Applications(b)		0.60	1.00	0.60	0.60	1.00
	Cover Crop(c)	\$60/acre					\$60
Cultural Cost	sNot Including Dormant Sprays(d,e)		\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,000
Total Cultura	l Costs		\$1,081	\$1,082	\$1,110	\$1,092	\$1,241
Harvest Costs	s per		\$332	\$332	\$332	\$332	\$332
acre(d)	perating Capital @7.4%(d)		\$24	\$24	\$24	\$24	\$24
Cash Overhea	·		\$214	\$214	\$214	\$214	\$214
Non-Cash	lu(u)		\$1,098	\$1,098	\$1,098	\$1,098	\$1,098
Overhead(d)			\$1,000	Ψ1,000	Ψ1,0>0	Ψ1,070	
Total Costs			\$2,749	\$2,750	\$2,778	\$2,760	\$2,909
Gross Revenu	ue (5)(d)		\$2,500	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$2,500
Returns to La	nd, Mgt & Overhead		-\$249	-\$250	-\$278	-\$260	-\$409
Total Cultura	l Costs as Percent of Gross Revenue		0.43	0.43	0.44	0.44	0.50
Total Costs as	s Percent of Gross Revenue		110%	110%	111%	110%	116%
_	tal Cost from Base Case		\$0	\$1	\$29	\$11	\$160
% Change in	Total Cost from Base Case		0%	0%	1%	0%	6%

			Base Case	Alternate Scenario 1 ⁽¹⁾	Alternate Scenario 2	Alternate Scenario	Alternate Scenario 4
			DO + Chlorpyrifos	DO Alone	$DO + Bt$ at $Bloom^{(2)}$	DO + Success	DO + Pyrethroid. In- season treatments as needed. Cover crops to reduce runoff.
	Cost of One Application(per ac, base	ed on 100 ac)(a)	1.7	\$20	\$20	\$20	\$20
	Cost of Two Applications(per ac, base	ed on 100 ac)(a)			\$40		
	Supreme Oil(4 gal/ac)(a)		\$12	\$12	\$12	\$12	\$12
	Lorsban 4E (2qt/ac)(3)(a)	\$15/acre	\$15				
	Guthion 50WP (4lbs/ac)(3)(a)	\$45/acre					
	Supracide 25 WP (8lbs/ac)(a)	\$60/acre					
	*Imidan 70WP (4.25 lb/ac)(a)	\$30/acre	\$30	\$30	\$30	\$30	\$30
	Ambush 25SP (12-25 oz/ac)(4)(a)	\$30/acre					
	Pounce 3.2 EC (8-16 oz/ac)(4)(a)	\$23/acre					\$2:
	Dipel (1 lb/ac)(2)(a)	\$28/acre			\$28		
	Success (6 oz/ac)(a)	\$30/acre				\$30	
	Sevin 80S (1.25 lb/ac)(a)	\$8/acre					
	Vendex 50WP (2 lb/ac)(a)	\$56/acre					
	Apollo SC (4 oz/ac)(a)	\$58/acre					
	Omite 30 WP (7.5 lb/ac)(a)	\$45/acre					\$4.
	Probability of Needing In-season Applications	(b)	0.40	1.00	0.60	0.60	1.00
	Cover Crop(c)	\$60/acre					\$60
Cultural C	ostsNot Including Dormant Sprays(d,e)		\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,00
Total Cult			\$1,067	\$1,082	\$1,110	\$1,092	\$1,23
	osts per acre(d)		\$332	\$332	\$332	\$332	\$33
Interest on	Operating Capital @7.4%(d)		\$24	\$24	\$24	\$24	\$2
Cash Over	head(d)		\$214	\$214	\$214	\$214	\$214
Non-Cash	Overhead(d)		\$1,098	\$1,098	\$1,098	\$1,098	\$1,09
Total Cost	S		\$2,735	\$2,750	\$2,778	\$2,760	\$2,89
Gross Rev	enue (5)(d)		\$2,500	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$2,50
	Land, Mgt & Overhead		-\$235	-\$250	-\$278	-\$260	-\$39
Total Cult	ural Costs as Percent of Gross Revenue		43%	43%	44%	44%	49%
	s as Percent of Gross Revenue		109%	110%	111%	110%	1169
_	Total Cost from Base Case		\$0	\$15	\$43	\$25	\$16
% Change	in Total Cost from Base Case		0	1	2	1	I
	phosmet) and Pounce (permethrin) were used fo						

FINAL REPORT 02/08/2006

	Economic Analysis for Irrigatio	n Season Chlorpyrifos	(Base Case) and Alternate	Scenarios for Almonds	s. (UCCE 2002a, 2002b)
Chlamarifa and	india anno (Inla) (a anno 11)	I O W	Base Case	Alternate Scenario 1	A14	Alternate Scenario 3
Chiorpyriios appli	ied in-season (July) to control N	avai Orange worm		Alternate Scenario 1	Alternate Scenario 2	Alternate Scenario 3
			Chlorpyrifos 60% of growers use basin flood irrigation with berms, 40% use drip or microsprinkler	at hull split. Same irrigation as Base Case	Guthion Same irrigation as Base Case, cover crops to reduce runoff	microsprinklers to reduce runoff.
	Cost of One Application(per ac,		\$20		\$20	\$20
	Cost of Two Applications(per ac	, based on 100 ac)(a)		\$40		
	Lorsban 4E (2qt/ac)(3)(a)	\$15/acre	\$15			\$15
	Guthion 50WP (4lbs/ac)(3)(a)	\$45/acre			\$45	
	Imidan 70WP (4.25 lb/ac)(a)	\$30/acre				
	Asana XL (4-6 oz/ac)(4)(a)	\$5/acre				
	Dipel (1 lb/ac)(2)(a)	\$28/acre		\$28		
	Orchard sanitation©	\$70/acre		\$70		
	Cover Crop(c)	\$60/acre			\$60	
	Microsprinklers cost differential	\$196/acre/year	\$196	\$196	\$196	\$196
Cultural CostsNot Include	ding management variable(d)		\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,000
Total Cultural Costs			\$1,113	\$1,216	\$1,203	\$1,231
Harvest Costs per acre(d)			\$332	\$332	\$332	\$332
Interest on Operating Cap	ital @7.4%(d)		\$24	\$24	\$24	\$24
Cash Overhead(d)			\$214	\$214	\$214	\$214
Non-Cash Overhead(d)			\$1,098	\$1,098	\$1,098	\$1,098
Total Costs			\$2,781	\$2,884	\$2,871	\$2,899
Gross Revenue (5)(d)			\$2,500	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$2,500
Returns to Land, Mgt & C	Overhead		-\$281	-\$384	-\$371	-\$399
Total Cultural Costs as Pe	ercent of Gross Revenue		45%	49%	48%	49%
Total Costs as Percent of	Gross Revenue		111%	115%	115%	116%
Change in Total Cost from	n Base Case		\$0	\$103	\$90	\$118
% Change in Total Cost fr	rom Base Case		0%	4%	3%	4%
	l) was used for scenario because it			ines.		
Pyrethroid scenario was n	ot included becaue pyrethroids are	e not recommended for it	n-season use on almonds.			

Chlorpyrifos applied in-season (March) to control Egyptian Alfalfa Weevi	l	Base Case	Alternate Scenario 1	Alternate Scenario 2
		Chlorpyrifos Flood irrigation, no tailwater control or vegetated buffer	Same irrigation as Base Case, tailwater control to reduce runoff	Same irrigation as Base Case, vegetated buffer to reduce runoff
Cost of One Application (per ac, based on 100 ac)(a)	\$20/acre	\$20	\$20	\$20
Cost of Two Applications (per ac, based on 100 ac)(a)	\$40/acre			
Lorsban 4E (2qt/ac)(3)(a)	\$15/acre	\$15	\$15	\$1:
Ambush 25SP (12-25 oz/ac)(4)(a)	\$30/acre			
Imidan 70WP (4.25 lb/ac)(a)	\$30/acre			
Vegetated Buffer(c)	\$60/acre			\$60
Tailwater control (Surface Drainage recirculation)(f)	\$100/acre/year		\$100	
Cultural CostsNot Including management variable(d)		\$290	\$290	\$290
Total Cultural Costs		\$325	\$425	\$385
Harvest Costs per acre(d)		\$198	\$198	\$198
Interest on Operating Capital @7.14%(d)		\$9	\$9	\$9
Cash Overhead(d)		\$77	\$77	\$77
Non-Cash Overhead(d)		\$400	\$400	\$400
Total Costs		\$1,009	\$1,109	\$1,069
Gross Revenue (5)(d)		\$875	\$875	\$87:
Returns to Land, Mgt & Overhead		-\$134	-\$234	-\$194
Total Cultural Costs as Percent of Gross Revenue		37%	49%	44%
Total Costs as Percent of Gross Revenue		115%	127%	122%
Change in Total Cost from Base Case		\$0	\$100	\$60
% Change in Total Cost from Base Case		0%	10%	6%

Explanations and Footnotes for Tables 1 through 5

- May result in unacceptable level of damage
- 2) Two applications required--cost is for two applications
- 3) One to three applications required when used as an in-season treatment; cost is for one application
- 4) Choice of this pesticide will also probably require use of miticide such as Vendex, Apollo, Omite, Kelthane, Agri-Mek
- 5) Yield for almonds: 1 ton per acre Price per ton: \$2500
 - Yield for peaches: 20 tons per acre Price per ton: \$235 Cost data are for 1998 (except advisory board assessment), an inflation rate of 3% was applied to all costs. Yield, price, and advisory board assessment data are for 2003 (R. Duncan, pers.comm)
 - Yield for apples: 30 tons per acre Price per ton: \$510 (70% fresh, 20% peelers, 10% juicers)
- a) Costs are from Zalom, et al., 1999.
- b) Estimated probability is based on CDPR Pesticide Use Report data, 2000-2002, when possible. No probabilities could be obtained for apple. Probabilities for dormant oil alone, dormant oil plus Bt, and dormant oil plus spinosad on almond and peach could not be obtained from PUR data. Probabilities were estimated for these scenarios.
- c) Costs are from Thomas, F. CERUS Consulting. Personal Communication
- d) Costs for typical practices are from University of California Cooperative Extension --see citations below. Specific practices vary by crop.
 - "Cultural Costs--Not Including Management Alternative(s)" includes annual cost per acre for typical cultural practices such as irrigation using flood system, pruning, fertilization, pollination, leaf analysis, non-dormant season insect pest control, vertebrate pest, weed, and disease control, vehicle use, and consultant fees. It does not include the cost of the management alternative being compared in the scenario, e.g., a specific pesticide.
 - "Harvest Costs" include shaking, raking, sweeping, pickup and haul, hull and shell, bin distribution, hand picking, and field sorting, depending on the crop type.
 - "Processing Costs" include cooling, sorting, packing, and storing. These costs apply to apples only.
 - "Advisory Board Assessment" is a mandatory fee assessed on each ton harvested. Not all crops are assessed an advisory board fee.
 - "Interest on Operating Capital" is based on cash operating costs and is calculated monthly until harvest at a yearly rate that varies by crop.
 - "Cash Overhead" are expenses assigned to the whole farm, including office expense, liability insurance, sanitation fees, property taxes, insurance, and equipment repairs.
 - "Non-Cash Overhead" includes buildings, fuel tanks, shop and hand tools, irrigation pump, filter, and sprinklers, land, and orchard establishment costs.
- e) Includes cost of removing mummies for control of Naval Oranage Worm in almonds (\$70 per acre).
- (f) Cost estimated as annualized capital cost of \$45 plus annual maintenance cost of \$55. Annualized capital cost = \$812 capital cost/18 year life expectancy.
 - "Gross Revenues" is the price paid per ton, times the number of tons typically harvested per acre. Tons per acre and price per ton for each crop is identified in (5), above.
 - "Returns to Land, Management, and Overhead" is the difference between Gross Revenues and Total Costs per acre.

CITATIONS

Devencenzi, Michael. Personal Communication. Pest Control Advisor for Peaches in San Joaquin Valley. 209/329-2165. Telephone Conversation May 28, 2003

Duncan, Roger. Personal Communication. Stanislaus County Farm Advisor for Peaches. University of California Cooperative Extension. 209/525-6800. Telephone Conversation June 3, 2002.

Ferriera, Bill. Apricot Producers Association. Personal Communication. Telephone Call. May 20, 2003. 209/524-0801.

University of California Cooperative Extension (UCCE). 2002a. Sample Costs to Establish an Almond Orchard and Produce Almonds. San Joaquin Valley North. Flood Irrigation.

UCCE. 2002b. Sample Costs to Establish an Almond Orchard and Produce Almonds. San Joaquin Valley North. Micro-sprinkler Irrigation.

UCCE. 2001a. Sample Costs to Establish an Apple Orchard and Produce Apples. Granny Smith Variety. San Joaquin Valley-North. Micro-sprinkler Irrigation

UCCE. 2001b. Sample Costs to Establish a Prune Orchard and Produce Prunes (Dried Plums). Sacramento Valley. French Variety & Low-Volume Irrigation

UCCE. 1998. Sample Costs to Establish a Cling Peach Orchard and Produce Cling Peaches. Sacramento and San Joaquin Valleys, Flood Irrigation.

UCCE. 1991. Apricot Establishment and Production Costs for the Northern San Joaquin Valley - 1991.

Note: UCCE 2001b was used instead of an older cost study for dried plums in the San Joaquin Valley because the data in UCCE 2001b are more recent.

UCCE 1998 was used instead of a more recent cost study for fresh market peaches in the San Joaquin Valley because canning (cling) peaches represent a larger part of the acreage.